

An HSI Report: The Welfare of Animals in the Aquaculture Industry

Abstract

Globally, approximately one trillion fish are caught from the wild each year¹, along with an equally significant number of farmed fish.² The majority of farmed fish are subject to overcrowded and restrictive conditions, which, if unchecked, can quickly deteriorate water quality, cause severe stress, and result in increased mortality. Aquaculture practices and production—including handling, grading, transport, genetic manipulation, aggression from conspecifics, predation, physiological stress, and inhumane slaughter—compromise the welfare of these animals.

Introduction

If fisheries sustain their current yields, populations of wild-caught aquatic animals face uncertain futures, with predictions of global collapse by 2048 of all species currently fished.³ "The wild harvest of seafood, man's last major hunting and gathering activity, is at a critical point," wrote U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) researcher David Harvey. "Technology has enabled harvesting to outpace the speed at which species can reproduce."

According to the Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat, the human population of 6.09 billion in 2000 is estimated to reach 8.2 billion by 2030.⁵ Globally, the average per-capita fish and shellfish consumption each year from 2001 to 2003 was 16.4 kg (36.2 lbs)⁶ and is predicted to increase to 22.5 kg (49.6 lbs) by 2030.⁷ Indeed, given that consumption has outpaced the growth of the world's human population since the 1960s,⁸ the world's fisheries are unlikely to satisfy the marketplace. "In response," continued Harvey, "the seafood industry is beginning to shift from wild harvest to aquaculture, the production of aquatic plants and animals under grower-controlled conditions."

Absent the additional demands placed on fish supply by the increasing human population, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations predicted in 2006 that worldwide aquaculture production must nearly double in the next 25 years to satisfy current worldwide consumptive patterns for fish. Since the mid-1980s, the aquaculture industry has expanded approximately 8% per year, and the numbers of farmed fish are expected to continue to increase, perhaps surpassing the numbers of wild-caught animals from the world's fisheries. Tore Håstein of Norway's National Veterinary Institute addressed the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) Global Conference on Animal Welfare in 2004 and reported that aquaculture has "developed to become the fastest growing food production sector in the world and it will continue to grow in the years to come."

With the expansion of the fish farming industry comes growing concern for the well-being of increasing numbers of aquatic animals raised and killed for human consumption. A review of recent scientific literature on fish welfare 4,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23 and stress, 4,25,26,27,28 as well as debates on pain and consciousness in fish, 29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36 reflect the escalating interest in the well-being of farm-raised fish. This area of research was considered so important that both *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* and *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 38

^{*} For the purpose of this report, "aquaculture," "aquaculture production," and "aquaculture industry" refer exclusively to the farming of fish, not aquatic plants and other aquatic animals.

devoted entire issues to the subject of behavior and welfare of fish. Beyond the scientific community, concern for fish welfare is also receiving attention, including amongst industry. The Fisheries Society of the British Isles (FSBI) states: "In practical terms also, it is often in our selfish interest to consider the issue of animal welfare; for example...poor welfare of farmed fish often equates to poor production."³⁹

With the expected growth of the human population and increased per-capita fish consumption, the aquaculture industry will likely continue to experience growth. It is therefore critical that producers act now to develop methods to ensure the health and welfare of the increasing numbers of farmed fish.

Aquaculture Industry Overview

Approximately half of all fish consumed today are farmed. Across a variety of species, the steps and methods of aquaculture production are principally the same. To obtain young, two primary systems are employed: 1) eggs and milt, a sperm-containing secretion of the testes of fish, are hand-collected from broodstock and mixed to induce fertilization or 2) broodstock spawn in captivity and fertilized eggs or swimming fry (young, post-larval fish) are subsequently collected. When starting with fertilized eggs, they are incubated until hatched. When fry begin actively searching for food, they are collected and transferred to a nursery to grow and feed until a preset time, size, or mass is reached: perhaps 2-3 months for tilapia, 46 4-6 months for catfish and trout, 86-8 months for bass, 9 and 8-16 months for salmon. Next, the fish are transferred from the nursery to a grow-out facility where they remain until reaching market size: 5-6 months for tilpia, 15-18 months for catfish, 15-20 months for trout, 18-24 months for bass, 49 and 18-36 months for salmon. Times for all species can vary widely by many months depending on water temperature and quality, feed quality and availability, and stocking density.

The facilities most commonly used for aquaculture production are ponds, tanks, raceways, cages, and pens. Ponds can be natural or artificial, typically with low water refreshment. Tanks are often fiberglass with a high water turnover rate. Raceways are long, linear structures designed so water flows into one end and out the other with high turnover. Cages or pens are usually made from mesh or net screens and are submerged in larger bodies of water, often lakes or seas for species requiring saltwater. The production of many farmed species requires the use of different types of facilities at different points in their lives. Farmed salmon, for example, hatch and grow as juveniles in freshwater, but after physiological adaptation to marine life, or smolting, grow-out is in seawater.

Collection of farmed fish is typically performed by netting, grading (a process similar to netting but using grates of various widths that allow fish below market size to pass through), or the draining of ponds. The animals are then transported to processing facilities for slaughter and packaging.

Given the scale of the U.S. and global aquaculture industries, and increasing concern for the welfare of farmed fish, several aspects of fish farming production practices and their impacts on the well-being of aquatic animals must be addressed.

Pain Perception and Consciousness*

Despite the current body of evidence regarding the welfare of farmed fish, some arguments persist that their ability to suffer and their conscious awareness of stimuli are yet to be determined. As such, two conflicting positions exist: one that contends that fish have the mental capacity to suffer and feel pain^{60,61,62} and another that asserts that fish brains lack a key neuroanatomical structure, the neocortex—which, in humans, is associated with the generation of conscious, subjective states—so, the animals have no consciousness or capacity to feel pain.⁶³

^{*} For more information, see "An HSUS Report: Fish and Pain Perception" by Stephanie Yue, Ph.D., at www.hsus.org/farm/resources/research/welfare/fish and pain.html.

On reviewing the evidence for nociception, or the ability to perceive and transmit signals of noxious stimuli, scientists are in agreement that fish have both the appropriate nerves and pathways to sense and send potentially painful signals ^{64,65,66,67} and that fish share neurotransmitters responsible for pain transmission with mammals, ⁶⁸ which are found in higher concentrations in brain regions receiving input from these nerves. ⁶⁹ Håstein concludes, "It is beyond doubt that fish do have nosiceptors [*sic*] and thus have the possibility to register pain, although the response and way of 'showing' pain is not expressed the same way as in terrestrial animals."

Lynne U. Sneddon of the University of Liverpool's School of Biological Sciences and her co-authors believe that showing physiological and behavioral responses to painful stimuli are suggestive of the perception of pain in fish. Investigating the effects of noxious substances injected into the lips of trout, the researchers observed significant changes in physiology and behavior, including increased gill, or opercular, beat rate and time to resume feeding. Sneddon *et al.* also reported that the fish, after being injected in their lips, rubbed their mouths against the sides of the tank and the gravel, and displayed rocking behaviors for up to 90 minutes post-injection—behaviors she feels are not simple reflexes and that were mitigated by application of an analgesic. The scientists concluded: If a noxious event has sufficiently adverse effects on behaviour and physiology in an animal and this experience is painful in humans, then it is likely to be painful in the animal.

Arguing against the idea that fish have the ability to suffer and feel pain, James D. Rose, a professor in the Department of Zoology and Physiology at the University of Wyoming, stresses that fish lack a neo-cortex, which he contends is essential for consciousness. Though he agrees that noxious stimuli can evoke neural activity leading to physiological stress and behavioral responses, and further acknowledges that nociceptive reactions are universal in the animal kingdom, Rose distinguishes this from sentience stating that "reactivity to noxious stimuli does not imply conscious awareness." His primary argument distinguishes between behavioral responses to potentially painful stimuli and conscious, painful experiences where "functions of specific regions of cerebral cortex" allow humans to be aware of pain.

Among vertebrates, however, there are differences in brain areas with specific functions. ^{78,79} In his essay "The Evolution of Pain," Donald Broom, University of Cambridge Professor of Animal Welfare in the Department of Clinical Veterinary Medicine and Vice-Chair of Animal Welfare for the European Commission's Scientific Committee on Animal Health and Animal Welfare, cautions: "It is necessary to look for the site of any particular function rather than assuming that it will be in the same area as in man" and concludes that "it is not logical to assume that, because an area which has a certain function in man is small or absent in another group of vertebrates, the function itself is missing." Evidence for the existence of nociception has been found in all vertebrates investigated, and, within the species researched, more similarities than differences exist in nociception systems, leading Broom to suggest that not only is there no basis for pain being more important for those with elevated cognitive capacity, but that "[p]ain might be a greater problem in animals with less cognitive ability."

Kristopher Chandroo, a veterinarian from The University of Guelph's Aquaculture Centre, and co-authors assert that absence of structural similarities to humans in the fish brain does not exclude possible functional similarities. In fact, emotions require "relatively primitive brain circuits that are conserved through evolution." The researchers conclude: "Anatomical, pharmacological and behavioural data suggest that affective states of pain, fear and stress are likely to be experienced by fish in similar ways as in tetrapods. This implies that fish have the capacity to suffer, and that welfare consideration for farmed fish should take these states into account. We suggest that the concept of animal welfare can be applied legitimately to fish. It is therefore appropriate to recognize and study the welfare of farmed fish."

Separate from the debate on consciousness, the complex behaviors of fish, including deliberate avoidance responses and fear, so indicate more than simple reflex. For example, carp, after having been hooked once, learn to avoid bait for a year or more, and salmon can learn predator-avoidance skills from experienced fish. Additionally, fish are able to learn about and remember their environment to aid in orientation and navigation, solve tasks based on mental images of their surroundings, and learn from familiar conspecifics, implicating the importance of social groups. After reviewing evidence documenting complex behaviors exhibited by fish,

Felicity Huntingford, Professor of Functional Ecology in the Department of Environmental and Evolutionary Biology at the University of Glasgow, and co-authors conclude that "the experience of suffering may be a real possibility."

John Webster, University of Bristol emeritus professor of Animal Husbandry and founding member of the Farm Animal Welfare Council, an independent advisory body established by the British government in 1979 "to keep under review the welfare of farm animals on agricultural land, at market, in transit and at the place of slaughter; and to advise the Government of any legislative or other changes that may be necessary," synthesized the discussion in a 2005 interview with *The Daily Telegraph*: "A powerful portfolio of physiological and behavioural evidence now exists to support the case that fish feel pain and that this feeling matters. In the face of such evidence, any argument to the contrary based on the claim that fish 'do not have the right sort of brain' can no longer be called scientific. It is just obstinate."

The Welfare of Aquacultured Animals

Given the myriad and fundamental differences between farmed fish and other animals raised for human consumption, it follows that welfare considerations common to land-based farmed animals may not be directly applicable to aquatic farmed animals. ⁹⁵ Contributing greater complexity to the farmed fish welfare discussion are the challenges of separating the different effects of individual production factors, leading to, as Huntingford *et al.* put it, "the important conclusion that, even for a particular species, gender and age of fish, we cannot guarantee the welfare by defining a simple set of husbandry conditions. This in turn emphasizes the need for sensitive on-the-spot indicators of welfare." ⁹⁶

The FSBI, the "premier Society in the British Isles, and increasingly in Europe, catering for the interests of professional fish biologists and fisheries managers" and publisher of *The Journal of Fish Biology*, identifies several directly observable indices of welfare, including: 98

- changes in skin or eye color, often indicating exposure to adverse events;
- changes in ventilation rate observed as increased opercular beating, indicating stress or exposure to environmental contaminants;
- changes in swimming performance and other behaviors, indicating injuries, the presence of parasites, or generally decreased welfare;
- reduced food intake, often indicating acute or chronic stress:
- loss of body condition or impaired growth, indicating possible chronic stress;
- morphological abnormalities resulting from the effects of adverse conditions on development;
- occurrence of injuries from aggression and slow healing, indicating possible poor immune response; and
- increased incidence of disease, indicating possible poor environmental conditions.

To align welfare issues with those commonly considered for land animals, several scientists have adapted the Brambell Committee's "Five Freedoms" to fish, ^{99,100} summarized as follows:

- 1. <u>Freedom from hunger and thirst</u>: Captive fish should have a nutritionally appropriate diet to avoid decreased welfare; smolting fish may become dehydrated if transferred to sea water at too young of an age, before they are able to survive.
- 2. <u>Freedom from discomfort</u>: Appropriate water conditions should be provided as fish, through the surface area of their gills, are in intimate contact with their environment. Factors to be considered include levels of dissolved oxygen, pH, and ammonia; temperature; flow rates; and the presence of pollutants.
- 3. Freedom from pain, injury, and disease: While many diseases of fish may be poorly understood, they are frequently caused by problems with the environment. When outbreaks occur, they can lead to high mortality rates. All attempts should be made to limit disease outbreaks, and when disease is found, it should be quickly diagnosed and treated.

- 4. <u>Freedom to express normal behavior</u>: Appropriate densities and environmental conditions to enable the fish to exhibit natural behaviors should be maintained throughout the life cycle.
- 5. <u>Freedom from fear and distress</u>: Factors that cause fear, distress, discomfort, and other welfare-impairing conditions should be minimized.

Fish reared in aquaculture systems face numerous welfare challenges. The development, implementation, and management of appropriate production practices and facilities to ensure the well-being of growing numbers of farmed fish are critical, as significant concerns with stress responses, water and environmental quality, stocking densities, disease and parasites, selective breeding, genetic selection and transgenic manipulation, nutrition and feed, external impacts, crowding, handling, netting and grading, transport, and stunning and slaughter contribute to decreased welfare.

Stress Response

Fish respond to challenges, or stressors, through their stress response. According to Thomas Schwedler, Professor in the Department of Biological Sciences at Clemson University, and Sterling Johnson, Fish Disease Specialist in the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Science at Texas A & M University, this combined physiological and behavioral response by fish to stressful conditions is a survival mechanism in which the animals may "sacrifice long-term survival strategies to concentrate their efforts on short-term survival." The stress responses can be short- or long-term and may indicate poor welfare. As welfare analysis is complex and no simple link exists between stress and welfare, the FSBI warn, "there is cause for concern about the welfare of the fish involved" in the presence of a coordinated stress response influenced by specific conditions. Huntingford *et al.* address this topic in their review: 103

Where fish cannot escape a stressor, or where the stressful stimulus is episodic or intermittent, prolonged activation of the stress response has deleterious consequences. These include loss of appetite, impaired growth and muscle wasting, immunosuppression and suppressed reproduction. Clearly, observing such changes provides strong indications that the well-being of the fish has been significantly compromised.

The stress response in fish is very similar to responses in higher vertebrates and mammals, and is often divided into three categories: primary, secondary, and tertiary stress responses. [104,105,106,107]

Primary stress response is characterized by the physiological changes that occur in and between the nervous system and endocrine system. The stressor is perceived and then stimulates the release of hormones (catecholamines and cortisol) from endocrine glands. Review articles detail this chain of events in its entirety. ^{108,109,110,111,112} It is noteworthy that the end result is the production and release of the primary stress-induced hormone, cortisol. ¹¹³

Secondary stress responses are those triggered by the elevated levels of hormones from the primary response. These effects include: changes in rates of turnover and secretion of other hormones and neurotransmitters; increased heart rate and blood flow to gills, improving respiratory capacity; and increased energy mobilization from stored reserves. 114,115

Tertiary responses, or whole body responses, are typically due to repeated or long-term stressors that cannot be avoided. These effects include changes in immune function, disease resistance, growth, and reproductive health. Cortisol, the primary stress-induced hormone produced and released, can suppress the immune system and increase mortality as a result. Behavioral modifications may also develop as a result of stress, and these changes can develop immediately with the stressor and may be prolonged after its removal. Such altered behaviors include: feeding and appetite reduction, leading to impaired growth and fitness; changes in levels of activity and swimming performance; shelter seeking; suppressed predator and stressor avoidance; and difficulties with thermoregulation and orientation.

Following a stressful event, the level of plasma cortisol increases, typically proportionally to the duration and magnitude of the stressor. ^{122,123} If the stressful event is brief, the concentration normalizes within a few hours. When faced with chronic stress, the fish's elevated cortisol levels may persist throughout the duration of the stressor. ¹²⁴ Cortisol therefore provides a measure of the deleterious effects of stress. ¹²⁵ These stress responses can also be cumulative if confronted with multiple stressors. ¹²⁶

Though stress cannot be directly quantified, cortisol level measurements can be used as a proxy even though measurement methods are not consistently accurate or practical, and will not necessarily implicate the stressor involved. FSBI's aforementioned directly observable indices of welfare have also been identified by Huntingford *et al.* to assess stress, health, and welfare of farm-raised fish. ^{127,128} A priority of the aquaculture industry should be to identify how conditions could be improved to minimize stressors and their effects to improve the welfare of farmed fish.

Water and Environmental Quality

Water quality is considered one of the most important factors contributing to fish health and is therefore seen by industry as a limiting factor in production. A fish's gills have a very large surface area so they can more easily extract oxygen from water, which also makes the animals highly sensitive to pollution and poor water quality. Since fish are in such intimate contact with their environment, optimal conditions for health and welfare should include appropriate temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, salinity, the levels of organic and inorganic substances, light, among other parameters. According to a 2005 review, Science-Based Assessment of Welfare: Aquatic Animals, published in the OIE's Animal Welfare: Global Issues, Trends and Challenges, failure to provide ideal environments "may result in stress, distress, impaired health and mortality, all of which are often associated with the intensive rearing conditions that cause poor water quality." 132

The issue of water quality affects all types of production systems. Extensive and intensive systems are differentiated in part by how the water is managed. In extensive systems, natural processes maintain water quality through currents or tides, remove carbon dioxide and ammonia via microbial activity, supply DO from the atmosphere, and dilute wastes. ^{133,134} Once the water can no longer negotiate the rates of oxygen consumption and waste production by the fish, it must be pumped through the system via raceways, recycled in tanks, or otherwise altered to maintain good quality; the system is then deemed intensive. ¹³⁵ Net pens open to the environment are typically classified as intensive because of the stocking densities involved and the requirement of extra-environmental delivered feed. ¹³⁶ In either system, however, failure to provide and maintain high water quality can rapidly deteriorate fish welfare. To avoid extreme problems with water quality, it is recommended by University of California-Davis aquaculture specialist Fred S. Conte that producers avoid operating at maximum capacity as detrimental conditions can develop rapidly in high-density intensive systems. ¹³⁷

Analysis and adjustment of water quality can improve the welfare of farmed fish. Generally, water quality deteriorates in part due to interactions between fish and water, namely respiration rates and waste production. Respiration decreases the DO content and increases carbon dioxide, and fish wastes increase levels of ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and suspended solids. ^{138,139} Accumulation of nitrite in the water can alter respiration by decreasing the blood's ability to transport oxygen. ¹⁴⁰ Hypoxia and low levels of DO trigger a stress response in fish, and altered levels of other chemicals, including ammonia and carbon dioxide, can disturb fish physiology, causing impaired gill and kidney function, and may increase respiration, which can exacerbate the effects of toxicity. ^{141,142,143,144} Sublethal conditions, if left for long durations, will chronically stress fish and result in reduced growth and reproductive performance, and increased susceptibility to disease and parasites. ^{145,146} As such, it is critical to strive for conditions that are optimal, rather than simply those that do not exceed preset toxicity limits even though such limits may be easier to assess. ^{147,148} Optimal water conditions can vary depending on the species, age, and size of the animals, as well as their history of exposure to dissolved gases and chemicals. ¹⁴⁹

As a fish's body temperature is typically within a few degrees of the water temperature, any temperature increase will increase the animal's metabolic rates and demand for oxygen. ¹⁵⁰ Water temperature conditions for

farmed fish must therefore be closely monitored.¹⁵¹ Staying within the optimal temperature range for a particular species and, more specifically, for genetic lines within the same species, is vital, but stress can be induced in fish when water temperature shifts dramatically even within ideal parameters.¹⁵² This can occur when fish move in temperature-stratified waters or, if during transport, fish are moved through different environments at varying temperatures. When water temperatures increase, oxygen levels must be closely monitored as DO capacity is inversely proportional to temperature. As such, it is believed that the stress associated with increasing temperatures is due to hypoxia.¹⁵³ At the other extreme, stress induced by lower temperatures can suppress the immune system and reduce feeding, which may both be deleterious to fish welfare.^{154,155}

Lighting is another environmental factor that can affect the welfare of farmed fish. Artificial lighting is used to control the photoperiod, extending daylight hours to increase growth and also to manipulate maturation. ^{156,157} Growth of immature fish is preferred since carcass quality degrades after sexual maturity is reached. ^{158,159} Aquaculture systems employ various lighting regimens, with some subjecting fish to continuous light to stimulate growth. ^{160,161,162} Rapid shifts in light intensity should be avoided as they can dramatically alter behavior by invoking a panic or predator type response, increasing injury through unintentional collisions, and causing mortality. ^{163,164} Few studies have investigated the effects on animal welfare from artificial lighting regimens, though it has been noted that artificial photoperiods can affect the immune system and disease susceptibility in some fish. ¹⁶⁵ According to Schwedler and Johnson, fish "may require the regulation of light intensities and daily light/darkness regimes to avoid stress." ¹⁶⁶ The FSBI lists "[a]ppropriate seasonal and daily patterns of light intensity" as "[c]ritical for fish welfare." ¹⁶⁷ More study is needed in this area to elucidate the welfare effects of altered photoperiods and continuous lighting on farmed fish.

Stocking Density

Not unlike other industrial farm animal production systems, aquaculture facilities have increasingly stocked greater numbers of fish without making parallel increases in the size of the confinement systems. Keeping fish at high densities can have a negative impact on their health and welfare. 168,169

Densities vary by species, age, and rearing conditions. Appropriate stocking densities should provide adequate space for proper metabolic considerations through good water quality, proper behavioral considerations, such as allowing for unimpaired swimming and social behaviors, and the limitation or control of aggression. ^{170,171}

Carrying capacity is a density concept that describes the ability of the system to continuously provide consistent water quality. Practically, the carrying capacity of the production system is the maximum number of fish the system can maintain in terms of management of DO, ammonia, carbon dioxide, and other water quality issues. The appropriateness of this measure of density is questionable as it only accounts for the physiological needs of the fish and ignores spatial and behavioral requirements. As such, carrying capacity may not be optimal for disease control or welfare. The ability of the system to continuously provide consistent water quality is a density of the maximum number of fish the system can maintain in terms of management of DO, ammonia, carbon dioxide, and other water quality issues. The appropriateness of this measure of density is questionable as it only accounts for the physiological needs of the fish and ignores spatial and behavioral requirements. As such, carrying capacity may not be optimal for disease control or welfare.

Aside from the effects of high stocking densities on water quality, elevated densities can diminish the ability of fish to display natural behaviors, while increasing the exhibition of undesired ones. Given the wide variety of behaviors demonstrated by and within species at different stages in the life cycles of fish, developing a concise and broad-brushed means by which to afford aquatic animals the full range of critical natural behaviors is challenging. Indeed, social behaviors vary across a wide spectrum of interactions. For example, in salmon, these behaviors change with age: Young salmon still residing in freshwater streams are solitary and will protect their feeding territory; as salmon age and begin making their migration to sea, they become more social and may begin shoaling; and, when sexually maturing, they can become aggressive. Including higher mortality and decreased health, physiological condition, food conversion, and growth. Additionally, these interactions can inhibit the ability of fish to cope with other stressors.

Research has shown that the mortality of young salmon increases with density, and it is believed that social stress is a contributing factor. Elevated densities have been linked with decreased disease resistance, ¹⁸¹

perhaps because chronic stress from aggression has been implicated in impairing immune function. Aggressive interactions between fish are often based on the animals' sizes and can lead to fin, tail, and eye nipping (often referred to as cannibalism), injury from ramming, and suppressed growth. Differences in sizes are amplified by larger fish dominating food supplies, thus growing larger, resulting in subordinate smaller fish growing slower due to competition for food at guarded feeders and higher energy requirements caused by chronic stress. Indeed, high stocking densities that fail to meet behavioral requirements can stress fish and may lead to reduced growth and increased mortality. Lesions that develop from aggressive behaviors can further increase the risk of infection. Few alternatives exist for subordinate fish to avoid dominant individuals, as the confinement of aquaculture systems does not easily allow for conflict avoidance by escape.

Some evidence exists that in certain species, such as tilapia and salmon, aggressive behaviors can be diminished by increasing fish densities. However, it is not clear that the overall welfare of these species is improved at higher densities. As well, other welfare issues may develop at higher stocking densities such as increased bodily abrasion leading to fin damage. 193,194

Given the complexity of stocking density issues and their effects on fish welfare for a variety of species, Tom Pottinger, Aquatic Ecotoxicology and Physiology Group Leader, and Alan Pickering, retired Professor, both with the U.K.'s Natural Environment Research Council's Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, suggest that "the aquaculture environment is inherently unsuitable for fish that are territorial or solitary animals in their natural environment, such as some salmonid fish. In these cases, agonistic interactions can be particularly stressful to the fish." More investigation is needed on space (volume) preference to fully explore and address the many problems of inappropriate densities on the welfare of farmed fish. 196,197

Disease and Parasites

A key welfare problem for farmed fish is infection by disease and parasites. In the U.S. catfish industry—the largest sector of domestic aquaculture comprising 83.4% of fish raised in 2005, farming more than 1.1 billion animals ¹⁹⁸—mortality due to infectious disease can approach 30% of the population. ¹⁹⁹ Worldwide, "approximately a third to a half of all fish and shrimp put into cages or ponds are lost due to diseases before they reach marketable size." Since stress can decrease immune function, high rates of disease are often warning signs of preexisting and unobserved welfare problems. ²⁰¹

The physiological links between stress and immunosuppression are thoroughly described in the aquaculture literature. The increased levels of cortisol are implicated in the diminished capacity for macrophages (a type of white blood cell) to capture, engulf, and destroy bacteria. The salso decreases the numbers of white blood cells and impairs antibody production. The salso been noted that an immune response can influence the stress response since chemicals and cells linked with immune function can affect the release of stress hormones and intermediaries, leading to the possible increased susceptibility of diseased fish to other pathogens. According to Gary Wedemeyer, emeritus senior scientist with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's National Fisheries Research Center, "immunosuppression is particularly important because its effects can linger for some time after the other physiological changes have returned to prestress levels." 15

Other factors aside from stress have been linked to disease.* In intensive aquaculture systems, poor water quality can lead to injuries in the gills, increasing susceptibility to bacterial infection. This bacterial growth hinders the ability for the gills to exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide, and can be fatal. Stressful water and environmental conditions, such as having inappropriate DO levels or stocking densities, are also correlated with two types of blood infections, furunculosis and motile *Aeromonas* septicemia (MAS), though proper management of rearing conditions can mitigate these outbreaks. Unsuitable temperatures have also been shown to put catfish at high risk for enteric septicemia and rainbow trout at high risk for enteric red-mouth. Stressful water quality can lead to injuries in the gills to exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide, and can be fatal. This bacterial growth hinders the ability of the gills to exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide, and can be fatal. This bacterial growth hinders the ability of the gills to exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide, and can be fatal. This bacterial growth hinders the ability of the gills to exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide, and can be fatal. This bacterial growth hinders the ability of the gills to exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide, and can be fatal. This bacterial growth hinders the ability of the gills of the

^{*} For a thorough review of bacterial diseases in marine systems, see Toranzo AE, Magariños B, and Romalde JL. 2005. A review of the main bacterial fish diseases in mariculture systems. Aquaculture 246:37-61.

When MAS is present in the water, stress from social encounters between trout can be sufficient to result in MAS in defeated individuals. ²²⁰

Several disorders have been identified in farmed salmon, the most studied aquacultured species. Compared to their wild counterparts, reduced exercise and food surplus for pen-confined salmon have been implicated in heart deformities that lead to poor circulation, reduced stress tolerance, and increased mortality. ^{221,222} Cataracts are another common welfare problem in farmed salmon, believed to be caused by water temperature fluctuations, poor nutrition, rapid growth, and exposure to UV and sunlight that can lead to reduced vision, blindness, surface lesions, and impaired growth. ^{223,224,225} Skeletal deformities, such as shortened vertebral columns and humped backs, are increasingly identified in farmed salmon and can lead to impaired swimming performance, diminished feeding efficiency, lower stress tolerance, and overall poor welfare. ^{226,227}

Farmed fish are also subject to a variety of parasitic infestations. ^{228,229} For certain parasites, a minimum stocking density is typically needed before infestation can occur. However, Professor Christina Sommerville, Dean of Faculty of Natural Sciences and head of Parasitology at the University of Stirling's Institute of Aquaculture, notes that this threshold "is far exceeded in the fish farm environment." ²³⁰ Parasites are known to infect nearly every part of their host; they feed on scales and can infect the blood, intestines, and nearly every other organ. If left uncontrolled, parasites can cause serious health and welfare problems and increase mortality. ^{231,232}

Sea lice are the best known parasites infecting farmed fish and have proven since the 1960s to be particularly problematic for the farmed salmon industry. ²³³ Parasitic copepods (small crustaceans), sea lice feed on the skin and protective mucus of salmon, and the effects of their feeding can become severe enough to expose bones in the skull and cause death. ^{234,235} Financial losses due to sea lice infection of salmon can exceed 11% of the total production value due to costs associated with stress, treatment, mortalities, and lowered production. ²³⁶

The treatments that exist for pathogens and parasites may introduce their own welfare problems. In bath treatments, for example, fish are first corralled into a smaller volume and dosed with insecticides, vaccines, antifungals, or other chemicals, before being released with the chemically treated water. Not only may surrounding ecosystems suffer potentially toxic effects, ²³⁷ but the treatments have been shown to elicit a stress response in catfish, trout, carp, and tilapia. ^{238,239,240,241,242} Bath treatments with hydrogen peroxide, though likely more environmentally friendly, have been shown in trout to increase the stress response, impair oxygen-carrying capacity by the blood, and irritate the gills. ²⁴³ Prolonged treatments with certain antiparasitics have been linked to the development of resistance, decreasing the effectiveness of the treatments. ²⁴⁴

Environmental Factors Commonly Associated with the Occurrence of Infectious and Noninfectious Fish Diseases	
Fish Disease Problem	Predisposing Environmental Factors
Bacterial gill disease (Flavobacterium sp.)	Crowding; chronic low oxygen (4 mg/l for salmonids); elevated ammonia (more than 0.02 mg/l for salmonids); suspended particulate matter
Blue sac, hydrocele	Temperature; ammonia; crowding
Columnaris (Flexibacter columnaris)	Crowding or handling during warm-water periods if carrier fish are present
Environmental gill disease	Adverse rearing conditions, but contributory factors currently not well defined
Epithelial tumors, ulceration	Chronic, sublethal contaminant exposure
Fin erosion	Crowding; low level of dissolved oxygen; nutritional imbalances; chronic exposure to trace contaminants; high total suspended solids; secondary bacterial invasion
Furunculosis (Aeromonas salmonicida)	Low oxygen (<5 mg/l for salmonids); crowding; temperature; handling when pathogen carriers are present
Hemorrhagic septicemias, red-sore	External parasite infestations; ponds not cleaned; crowding; elevated ammonia; low

disease (Aeromonas, Pseudomonas)	oxygen; stress due to elevated water temperatures; handling after overwintering at low temperatures
Kidney disease (Renibacterium salmoninarum)	Water hardness less than about 100 mg/l (as CaCO ₃); diet composition; crowding; temperature
Nephrolithiasis	Water high in phosphates and carbon dioxide
Parasite infestations	Overcrowded fry and fingerlings; low oxygen; excessive size variation among fish in ponds
Skeletal anomalies	Chronic, sublethal contaminant exposure; adverse environmental quality; PCB, heavy metals, kepone, toxaphene exposures; dietary vitamin C deficiency
Spring viremia of carp	Handling after overwintering at low temperatures
Strawberry disease (rainbow trout)	Uneaten feed; fecal matter with resultant increased saprophytic bacteria; allergic response
Sunburn	Inadequately shaded raceways; dietary vitamin imbalance may be contributory
Swim bladder stress syndrome	Oil films; hypoxia; salinity; other water quality factors
Vibriosis (Vibrio anguillarum)	Handling; oxygen <6 mg/l, especially at water temperatures of 10-15°C (50-59°F); salinity 10-15%
White-spot, coagulated-yolk disease	Environmental stress: air supersaturation >102-103%, temperature, metabolic wastes, chronic trace contaminant exposure

This table has been adapted from: Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering, AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experiment Biology, Seminar Series 62. (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).

Vaccines have been used successfully against some bacterial diseases, though the welfare of the animals during handling while administering vaccines either through injection or bath treatments must be considered.²⁴⁵ In-feed treatments are also becoming more commonly used,²⁴⁶ though may have similar environmental problems to bath treatments.²⁴⁷ Cleaner fish, animals who eat parasites off the cultured species, have also been employed.²⁴⁸ Wrasse, the fish used to control sea lice, were initially effective in reducing parasitic loads, but suffered their own welfare problems, including predator attacks and high mortalities from bacterial disease and improper environmental controls.²⁴⁹

Discussing diseases, Pickering notes: "In most cases, the immediate cause of mortality in fish farms is disease and it is now well established that stressed fish are more susceptible to a wide range of diseases." Many problems associated with disease and infection can be minimized by decreasing the effects of stress and providing appropriate environmental conditions for farmed fish. Infections pose major welfare problems for farmed fish, and more work is needed on effective treatments that will not diminish welfare.

Selective Breeding, Genetic Selection, and Transgenics

As with other farmed animals,* farmed fish undergo selective breeding and genetic manipulation to enhance biologically and economically favored traits, such as rapid growth rate, disease resistance, and reproductive characteristics. In some cases, there are positive responses correlated with trait selection; for example, improvements for fry survival and disease resistance in channel catfish have been associated with selection for increased body weight after one generation. However, the increases in growth rates for farmed fish are extraordinary in comparison to that of those in the wild, as evidenced by the dramatic differences in the growth of salmon: Genetic selection over ten years and four generations of salmon has increased their weight by more than 60%, while in another study, transgenic salmon were on average 11-times heavier than their non-transgenic counterparts after only one year (one fish was 37-times heavier).

^{*} See www.FarmAnimalWelfare.org for reports on selective breeding and genetic manipulation for production traits.

Increasing production characteristics can cause serious welfare problems and should not be used to further intensify production of farmed fish. Summarized Håstein: "[I]f genetic capacity, feed utilisation and feed composition all work maximally towards the same goal, the fish may rapidly be squeezed over the biological limits which leads to a situation that may be characterised as unacceptable from a welfare point of view."²⁵⁸

Sex and ploidy, the number of chromosome sets in the nucleus, are manipulated in some fish both to increase growth rates and overall carcass yield, and to delay maturation, which is thought to result in enhanced carcass quality. 259 Sex manipulation is performed to create all-male or all-female populations depending on producer preference and cultured species. All-male populations are created by supplying the male sex hormone testosterone through a feeding regimen to young fish. 260 This is desirable for tilapia since male tilapia grow approximately twice as fast as females. ²⁶¹ Using sperm from altered males (female-to-male fish) to fertilize normal females will create a population that is all-female, ²⁶² which is desirable for salmonids since females may mature later than males^{263,264} and, as previously discussed, carcass quality can degrade after sexual maturity is reached. 265,266 Artificial pressures and temperatures are employed to manipulate the number of chromosome sets in the nucleus, by placing fertilized eggs in a vessel where the pressure and temperature can be raised above normal atmospheric levels to prevent the second meiotic division.²⁶⁷ This will result in three (triploid) sets of chromosomes, rather than the normal two (diploid) sets, which is advantageous since triploid females are sterile and will not reach maturity. ^{268,269} Mortality is approximately twice as high in triploid versus diploid salmon in fresh water, ²⁷⁰ and triploid salmon may also be physiologically less equipped to transport oxygen in their blood than diploid salmon, making them more easily affected by conditions of low DO. 271 As such, they should arguably not be subjected for prolonged periods to environments with poor oxygen, such as during crowding, grading, and treatments for sea lice, as this may pose increased risks and mortalities. 272 Some triploid fish are found to suffer higher occurrence of cataracts, increasing the risk of blindness and decreasing the ability to acquire feed, thereby resulting in emaciation, ²⁷³ and tetraploid fish, those with four sets of chromosomes, can suffer from spinal deformities.²⁷⁴

The insertion of foreign genes to genetically engineer "transgenic" fish is another technique, along with selective breeding and polyploidy, used to enhance industry-desired traits, ²⁷⁵ often at the expense of the fish's welfare. Young, non-transgenic catfish exhibit better predator-avoidance skills compared to transgenic counterparts. ²⁷⁶ Genetically engineered salmon can show decreased swimming capacity, reducing their ability to forage and avoid predators, ²⁷⁷ and some exhibit severe deformities consisting of extra cartilage around the head that disrupts normal ventilation, feeding, and cartilage growth, and increases mortality. ²⁷⁸ Eric Hallerman, Professor and department head of Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences at Virginia Tech, and co-authors note in their review of transgenes on behavior and welfare that for growth rates, selective breeding may forgo the need for transgenesis and ultimately, many welfare issues with transgenic fish remain unanswered. ²⁷⁹

Nutrition and Feed

Fish have specific dietary requirements relating to micronutrients, fats, proteins, and amino acids. ^{280,281} The FSBI report that "diets lacking in critical micronutrients impair welfare in many species, according to a range of indicators, such as high mortality, morphological abnormalities, poor immune function, abnormal behaviour, poor feeding, impaired sensory function and slow growth." More than half of the operating budget for intensive aquaculture is feed costs, and proteins, especially from fish meal, are the most expensive component. ²⁸³ The production of one pound of some carnivorous species may require up to five pounds of wild fish, ²⁸⁴ and, throughout the overall aquaculture industry, dietary fish inputs exceed outputs by a factor of two to three. ²⁸⁵

A variety of plant sources and animal by-products have been tried as alternative feed sources to fish meal, including soybean meal, cottonseed meal, other oilseed by-products, poultry by-product meal, blood meal, hydrolyzed feather meal, meat and bone meal, and animal manures. For tilapia, these alternatives have been found to generally lower growth and performance compared to use of fish meal, but their inclusion into farmed fish diets has been argued from an economical standpoint. Some non-carnivorous fish species, such as carp

and tilapia, may be better suited to proteins from plant-based sources. However, these alternatives may be deficient of essential amino acids, diminishing the health of some carnivorous farmed fish, including salmon. Altering protein sources in feeds can cause digestion problems, irritate the intestines, and cause immune depression. Proper nutrition is vital, particularly before disease outbreaks, as it has been shown to increase resistance to disease and reduce mortalities. Conversely, improper nutrition has been shown to compromise immune function and has also been linked with skeletal deformities. 292,293,294

Some researchers are critical of the lack of knowledge on nutritional requirements for farmed fish in production systems and recommend further investigation. ^{295,296} Rune Waagbø, principal scientist at Norway's National Institute of Nutrition and Seafood Research, comments that "evaluation of nutritional impact on fish health is in its infancy....[T]he methods and criteria for optimal nutrient recommendations should be reevaluated to include health factors such as immunology and diseases resistance."

In addition to the complexities of adequate nutrition for farmed fish, significant ecological and environmental impacts on wild fish stocks may be associated with the production and composition of fish feeds. These problems have been thoroughly discussed and strong recommendations have been made for the raising of only herbivorous fish. ^{298,299,300}

External Impacts

Unless indoors and closed to the natural environment, aquaculture production systems are open to water and/or air. Nursery and grow-out facilities are natural or artificial ponds, tanks, or raceways, or meshed or netted cages or pens typically placed in natural lakes or seas, allowing intimate contact with surrounding waters. As such, these fish farming facilities both affect their surroundings and are affected by them.

Predators pose direct and indirect threats to farmed fish in open aquaculture systems. In addition to direct predation losses, exposure to predators can increase cortisol levels and respiration in the animals.³⁰¹ Added physiological stress can be doubly detrimental as it has been linked to impaired anti-predatory behavior and significantly increased mortality.³⁰² Salmon recovering from handling stress initially have impaired predator-avoidance skills, although they can recover avoidance skills before hormone concentrations return to normal^{303,304}Additionally, feeding behavior is shown to decline when there is risk of predation, possibly a result of redirected visual attention from feed to an approaching predator.³⁰⁵

Some predators, such as otters and mink, can attack fish through mesh and netting, injuring and killing more fish than they consume. The resultant injuries and stress may then increase disease suceptibility. The aquaculture industry uses anti-predator devices in attempts to protect stocks from birds, mink, seals, and other predators. Predators not deliberately killed risk ensnarement in nets and drowning. 307,308

There is some indication that disease can spread both ways between wild fish and those farmed in systems open to the environment. Onte notes that stressed farmed fish with suppressed immune function and sharing waters at higher densities will often contract disease and/or parasitic infestation. Since both groups, wild or cultured fish, put each other at risk of disease, closed systems have the advantage of mitigating this harmful relationship, but not without their own significant welfare problems.

The infestation of a natural environment with non-native species, such as when farmed fish escape their enclosures, poses serious concerns. "In the future, farming transgenic, or genetically modified, fish may exacerbate concerns about biological pollution," concluded Rebecca Goldburg, senior scientist at Environmental Defense, and her co-authors. Damage to netpens allowing fish to escape can occur from natural storms, human error, or marine mammals. Escapees may have lower survival in the wild than native species, though they can continue to compete for resources. Extensive reviews are available on the problems associated with fish escapes and their effects on wildlife and predators, including interbreeding and reduced biodiversity and fitness of wild populations.

315,316,317

Crowding, Handling, Netting, and Grading

Though typically stressful procedures themselves, crowding, handling, netting, and grading of farmed fish are performed at various stages during aquaculture production³¹⁸ often to mitigate other welfare problems. For example, as fish can grow at different rates, grading is often done to separate stock populations into uniform size in order to reduce feeding competition between disparately sized fish.³¹⁹ A large body of work has investigated the effects of these procedures on the welfare of farmed fish with hopes to alleviate the stress involved.

Prior to handling, grading, the administering of bath treatments, and transport, fish are often crowded at higher than normal densities. The animals may struggle or attempt escape, suggesting acute stress from overcrowding. In addition to the deleterious effects of high stocking density discussed above, short-term crowding has also been found to increase stress and depress immune function for days after the crowding event. 322,323

Handling and netting present their own significant health and welfare concerns. The preliminary step of plunging a net into water is believed to evoke fear in some fish³²⁴ and Conte warns, "[i]f not done correctly, excessive stress can jeopardize fish welfare."³²⁵ In water, fish have the force of buoyancy acting against their weight, so the experience of being removed from water is considered both stressful and injurious. ³²⁶ If many fish are netted or lifted at once, the weight of the animals pressing down can injure those on the bottom, in some cases causing spine injuries. ³²⁷ Handling and netting can also harm the mucus coating and scales of the fish, elevate stress, and increase disease and parasitic susceptibility. ^{328,329} As netting adversely affects fish welfare, moving the animals by pumping them through transfer pipes where they remain submerged in water is an option that may be the least invasive, ³³⁰ though more work must be done in this area to assess its welfare effects.

Oxygen concentration can vary with temperature, so fish should be handled when the water is at its coldest, typically at night. Handling during high temperatures, when there is less oxygen in the water, can cause severe stress and "the associated stress often results in mortality in both the short and long-term." Conte recommends: "All fish-handling processes should be slow and deliberate so as not to increase the natural avoidance reactions of fish, which can lead to excessive activity and potential exhaustion."

As discussed above, holding differing-sized fish in the same enclosure can cause aggression, fin nipping and other cannibalistic behavior, stress, depressed immune function, reduced growth, and other unwanted results and welfare assaults. To reduce these effects and improve the welfare of smaller fish, they are at times graded by size during the grow-out period.³³³ This process requires collecting the fish by netting or pumping them through transfer pipes, and distributing them over a series of parallel bars of differing widths, selecting and collecting fish of similar size.³³⁴ However, as with handling, time for grading should be kept to a minimum as it is known to be stressful, cause damage to the skin and scales, and temporarily decrease feeding rate and growth.^{335,336,337}

Transport

Fish are often starved before transport to clear the gut of contents to protect water quality by eliminating the animals' need to void feces³³⁸ and also prior to slaughter to minimize carcass contamination during gutting.³³⁹ Claims that starvation further protects water quality by decreasing oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production need additional evaluation, as several days of feed-withdrawal may be required to be effective to achieve these aims.³⁴⁰ Many researchers note that since farmed fish are accustomed to specific feeding regimens, changes in feeding will have negative effects on welfare.^{341,342} During periods of food deprivation, fish may nip at eyes and fins, cannibalistic behaviors that can cause eye damage and increased fin erosion,^{343,344,345} injuries known to decrease immune capacity.^{346,347,348,349} For these reasons, starvation time before transport or slaughter should be evaluated by species and environmental conditions and, if continued to be practiced, should be kept to an absolute minimum.^{350,351}

When ready for transport, fish are loaded with lift nets or pumps into transport vehicles, typically trucks or boats, but at times helicopters. As Håstein notes, "conditions during transport such as overcrowding, unacceptable water quality due to low oxygen, may result in irreparable damage to the fish and mortality," so construction of transport containers must address fish welfare. During transport, continuously circulated and freshly aerated water is the primary physiological need essential to promote fish health, standard particularly as stressed fish can increase their oxygen consumption.

Transport is known to increase concentrations of primary and secondary stress hormones in fish of varying maturity, ^{357,358,359,360} in some cases up to 15 times basal levels, ³⁶¹ and is associated with increased mortalities. ^{362,363,364} Injuries sustained during transport are known to elevate susceptibility to fungal infections contributing to post-release mortality. ³⁶⁵ Though it may only take hours to recover from certain physiological effects associated with transport, Wedemeyer recommends "a recovery period of several days before subjecting them to additional stress from other fish culture procedures." ³⁶⁶ Other researchers concur on the effects of handling stress and the need to allow for proper recovery before subjection to additional handling to reduce the risk of compounding stresses and worsening mortalities. ^{367,368,369,370}

Various techniques to alter the hauling water have been employed to alleviate stress and decrease mortality rates during and following transport.³⁷¹ These include chilling the water,³⁷² or adding anesthetics^{373,374} or mineral salts.^{375,376} Cool water slows metabolism, reducing oxygen use and wastes, though variations in water temperatures between hauling and destination should be avoided.³⁷⁷ Anesthetics added to hauling water can reduce swimming activity, thereby preventing some injuries, and suppress metabolic rates, which effectively improve water conditions by reducing oxygen use and the production of ammonia and carbon dioxide.³⁷⁸ Salts provide protection from a variety of physiological conditions such as blood electrolyte loss, lowered blood pH, and ionoregulatory dysfunction.³⁷⁹ Though these techniques can improve the animals' ability to cope with challenging conditions, they should not be coupled with increased densities during transport or utilized to compensate for poor water conditions.³⁸⁰ To ensure the welfare of fish during crowding, handling, netting, grading, and transport, appropriate environmental conditions must be maintained and durations for each stage kept to a minimum, while ample recovery time be provided after these procedures.

Stunning and Slaughter*

The production of farmed fish ends at slaughter. From the animal welfare perspective, painless slaughter is a non-negotiable goal—both for those animals who reach market weight and those culled for disease or other reasons. Many researchers agree that the optimum method of killing should avoid excessive physical activity, stress, pain, and suffering prior to slaughter, and should induce immediate insensitivity or unconsciousness throughout the slaughter process until death. ^{381,382,383,384,385,386} With all stunning methods, exsanguination by gill-cutting and bleeding should occur after the animals are fully unconsciousness and insensible to pain.

Depending on the time required to induce insensitivity or achieve death, stunning and slaughter methods can be generally classified as slow or fast. David Robb, with the Norwegian aquaculture company EWOS Innovation and the Division of Food Animal Science at the University of Bristol, and co-authors describe slow methods as "generally unacceptable in terms of welfare of the fish" and note faster methods that "cause a rapid loss of sensibility result in the best welfare, providing that they are carried out correctly." 388

To gauge the effectiveness and immediacy of stunning, visual evoked responses (VERs)—responses to visual stimuli—are used, as they are one of the final measurable responses to external stimuli. Robb *et al.* state "[a]n immediate loss of VERs can be regarded as an immediate stun and therefore humane...." The exhibition by fish of negative behaviors, such as excessive swimming, escape attempts, and other physical activities, is likely an indication that the stunning procedure is aversive and welfare is poor. There are many prolonged and aversive methods of stunning and slaughter. Exsanguination is sometimes performed without stunning, where

^{*} For more information, see "An HSUS Report: The Welfare of Farmed Fish at Slaughter" by Stephanie Yue, Ph.D., at www.hsus.org/farm/resources/research/welfare/welfare_farmed_fish_slaughter.html.

the gills are cut or ripped by hand and the fish are returned to water to bleed out. This method prolongs the death of fully conscious fish who have been observed as showing aversive reactions, VERs, violent head shaking, and gill movements for up to ten minutes. ^{391,392}

Suffocating fish in air, another slow-slaughter practice, may be the most common method used in the world. Removing fish from water and asphyxiating them in air is highly aversive and causes escape behaviors and severe stress responses. The time to induce loss of VERs or unconsciousness in this way varies based on temperature and its impact on metabolic rate—taking a few minutes at high temperatures and up to ten minutes at lower temperatures. Loss of movement takes considerably longer, nearly 200 minutes at near-freezing temperatures (2°C [35.6°F]). Some reports indicate that fish have "sensory capacity" for 15 minutes after removal from water.

Asphyxiation by immersion in ice water, or ice slurry, is a method similar to suffocation in air and can diminish fish welfare and cause significant increases in stress levels. 400 As with suffocation in air, asphyxiation in ice to achieve unconsciousness can last ten minutes for some temperate species such as rainbow trout and five minutes for warm-water species such as sea bream, and may not cause death for three hours. 401,402 Given the prolonged periods needed to induce unconsciousness and the subsequent risk of gill-cutting before loss of consciousness is achieved, the ice slurry method is unacceptable.

Stunning in carbon dioxide-saturated water followed by gill-cutting is another common slaughter method. Many species exhibit extreme aversive reactions to carbon-dioxide narcosis, such as rapid swimming, escape attempts, and vigorous shaking lasting for several minutes. Hans van de Vis, a principal at the Institute for Marine Resources and Ecosystem Studies in The Netherlands, and co-authors note that VERs continue beyond six minutes and, as it is possible for conscious states to exist after movement ceases, immobile fish may have their gills severed while conscious. Many scientists conclude that stunning by carbon dioxide is inhumane.

Since quicker slaughter methods may significantly decrease the time fish are conscious and suffering before death, they offer welfare benefits over slower stunning and slaughter methods. Primary fast-slaughter methods are percussive stunning, spiking, and stunning by electrocution. With percussive stunning, fish are removed from water, immobilized, and clubbed in the head. The differential accelerations between the skull and brain disrupt normal function, causing immediate insensibility. Spiking is similar to percussive stunning, but instead of using a club to strike the animal, a spike is driven through the brain. When applied correctly and efficiently, percussive stunning and spiking cause immediate loss of movement, VERs, and consciousness without negative reactions. Incorrect application of these methods, however, are highly aversive and may be long-lasting and painful.

Stunning by electrocution can also induce unconsciousness in fish. Typically, electricity is passed through a bath containing fish, and, if the current and voltage are sufficient, loss of VERs and movement are immediate. Fish show highly aversive reactions after the process if insufficient voltages are used, so improper stunning presents a serious welfare problem. The possibility also arises that fish may regain consciousness after stunning, so, as with all slaughter procedures, exsanguination should rapidly follow immediate loss of consciousness. To reduce the immediate effects of long-term crowding when many fish are killed at once, Peter Southgate and Tony Wall, director and founder, respectively, with the U.K.'s Fish Vet Group, recommend that slaughter should proceed as fast as possible, and, immediately before stunning, fish should not be held out of water for more than 15 seconds. The process in fish in the current are immediate.

Evaluating welfare at slaughter, Robb and Steve Kestin, of the University of Bristol's Department of Clinical and Veterinary Science's Division of Farm Animal Science, Behaviour and Welfare Group, find that the slower methods of exsanguination, death in air and in ice, and carbon-dioxide narcosis rank low in terms of measured welfare, while the fast-slaughter methods of percussive stunning, spiking, and electrical stunning rank higher. When discussing ethical considerations for the slaughter of farmed fish, Tony Wall with Scotland's Fish Vet Group notes: 425

It is important that we should step back from accepting existing fish slaughter methods and take a long cool look. Perhaps we should be developing a number of pre-harvest strategies which would enable the fish to be killed more easily and would be associated with less stress...In killing large numbers of fish it may not be possible to achieve the mammalian ideal of immediate insensibility. But, if this entails high levels of stress prior to slaughter, it may not be desirable. A low stress system prior to the point of slaughter may be just as important as the actual method used.

Research into acceptable stunning and slaughter methods is still needed to determine best practice for species and conditions. Wall describes some basic objectives the industry should strive for: increasing efficiency without compromising welfare, minimizing the pre-slaughter crowding time, minimizing fear, minimizing the time held out of water, and decreasing pain by insuring a quick stun.⁴²⁶

Conclusion

According to the FSBI, "[t]he scientific study of fish welfare lags behind that of the welfare of other vertebrates." At a global animal welfare conference, Håstein identified the "need to critically review all aspects and procedures in modern fish farming in order to establish ethically acceptable farming conditions, feeding and handling regimes, transport, stunning and slaughter methods." Given the billions of fish farmed domestically and globally, the need to understand the implications of aquaculture practices on those animals is critical. Indeed even despite his contention that fish are not sentient—a position highly disputed by the scientific community A29,430,431—Rose concludes that this "in no way devalues fishes or diminishes our responsibility for respectful and responsible stewardship of them."

According to Håstein *et al.*, "[a]pplying the principles of ethics and animal welfare to poikilothermic aquatic animals involves supplying the things necessary for sustaining life, optimising health and minimising visible discomfort (e.g. pain, stress and fear)."⁴³³ The experience of multiple stressors present at every stage of aquaculture production substantially increases the stress response in fish, which, in turn, affects aspects of physiology, response to predators, and mortality as Pickering warns, "chronic mortalities occur and, to a large extent, reflect the levels of stress to which the fish are subjected."⁴³⁴ Increasing mortalities are a clear indication that serious welfare problems exist, often from environmental effects, poor water quality, and infections, with some systems maintaining mortality rates of nearly 30% throughout the life cycle. ^{435,436}

All aspects of aquaculture production should be evaluated to minimize the stress and welfare assaults that fish face. These animals should be afforded the proper environment, water quality, and space to enable them the full range of their natural behaviors, and be protected from stress, disease, predation, negative effects of genetic selection, and inhumane slaughter.

¹ Mood, Alison. 2010. Worse things happen at sea: the welfare of wild-caught fish. http://www.fishcount.org.uk/published/standard/fishcountfullrptSR.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2010.

² Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006. Nearly half of all fish eaten today farmed, not caught. FAONewsroom. www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000383/index.html. Accessed December 16, 2010.

³ Worm B, Barbier EB, Beaumont N, et al. 2006. Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. Science 314(5800):787-90.

⁴ Harvey DJ. 2004. U.S. seafood market shifts to aquaculture. U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. Amber Waves, April.

www.ers.usda.gov/AmberWaves/april04/pdf/findingsMarketsTrade.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.

⁵ Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat. World Population Prospects: The 2006 Revision and World Urbanization Prospects: The 2005 Revision. http://esa.un.org/unup/. Accessed February 12, 2008.

- ⁶ National Marine Fisheries Service Office of Science and Technology, Fisheries Statistics Division. 2007. Fisheries of the United States 2006. www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/st1/fus/fus06/fus 2006.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁷ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2002. World agriculture: towards 2015/2030. www.fao.org/docrep/004/y3557e/y3557e10.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁸ World Health Organization. 2007. 3. Global and regional food consumption patterns and trends. 3.5 Availability and consumption of fish. www.who.int/nutrition/topics/3_foodconsumption/en/index5.html. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁹ Harvey DJ. 2004. U.S. seafood market shifts to aquaculture. U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. Amber Waves, April.
- www.ers.usda.gov/AmberWaves/april04/pdf/findingsMarketsTrade.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁰ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006. Nearly half of all fish eaten today farmed, not caught. FAONewsroom. www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000383/index.html. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹¹ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006. Nearly half of all fish eaten today farmed, not caught. FAONewsroom. www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000383/index.html. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹² Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ¹³ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ¹⁴ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ¹⁵ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008
- ¹⁶ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹⁷ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹⁸ Lymbery P. 2002. In too deep: the welfare of intensively farmed fish (U.K.: Compassion in World Farming Trust). www.ciwf.org.uk/publications/reports/in_too_deep_2001.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁹ Schwedler TE and Johnson SK. 1999-2000. Animal welfare issues: responsible care and health maintenance of fish in commercial aquaculture. Animal Welfare Information Center, United States Department of Agriculture. Animal Welfare Information Center Bulletin 10(3-4).
- www.nal.usda.gov/awic/newsletters/v10n3/10n3schw.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²¹ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ²² Ashley PJ. 2007. Fish welfare: Current issues in aquaculture. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 104(3-4):199-235.
- ²³ Damsgård B, Juell J-E, and Braastad BO. 2006. Welfare in farmed fish (Norway: Fiskeriforskning). <u>www.fiskeriforskning.no/fiskeriforskning/publikasjoner/rapporter/welfare_in_farmed_fish</u>. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁴ Schreck CB, Olla BL, and Davis MW. 1997. Behavioral responses to stress. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 145-70).
- ²⁵ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).

- ²⁶ Pottinger TG and Pickering AD. 1997. Genetic basis to the stress response: selective breeding for stress-tolerant fish. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 171-93).
- ²⁷ Barton BA and Iwama GK. 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. Annual Review of Fish Diseases 1:3-26.
- ²⁸ Lemly AD. 1996. Winter stress syndrome: an important consideration for hazard assessment of aquatic pollutants. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 34(3):223-7.
- ²⁹ Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, and Gentle MJ. 2003. Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1520):1115-21.
- ³⁰ Broom DM. 2001. The evolution of pain. In: Soulsby L and Morton D (eds.), Pain: Its Nature and Management in Man and Animals (U.K.: Royal Society of Medicine Press, pp. 17-25).
- ³¹ Rose JD. 2002. The neurobehavioral nature of fishes and the question of awareness and pain. Reviews in Fisheries Science 10(1):1-38.
- ³² Chandroo KP, Duncan IJH, and Moccia RD. 2004. Can fish suffer?: perspectives on sentience, pain, fear and stress. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):225-50.
- ³³ Chandroo KP, Yue S, and Moccia RD. 2004. An evaluation of current perspectives on consciousness and pain in fishes. Fish and Fisheries 5(4):281-95.
- ³⁴ Braithwaite VA and Boulcott P. 2007. Pain perception, aversion and fear in fish. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 75:131-8.
- ³⁵ Rose JD. 2007. Anthropomorphism and 'mental welfare' of fishes. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 75(2):139-54.
- ³⁶ Bshary R, Wickler W, and Fricke H. 2002. Fish cognition: a primate's eye view. Animal Cognition 5(1):1-13.
- ³⁷ Diseases of Aquatic Organisms. 2007. Welfare of aquatic organisms. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 75(2).
- ³⁸ Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 2007. Fish behaviour and welfare. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 104(3-4).
- ³⁹ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁴⁰ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006. Nearly half of all fish eaten today farmed, not caught. FAONewsroom. www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000383/index.html. Accessed December 16, 2010.
- ⁴¹ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oreochromis niloticus*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oreochromis_niloticus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁴² Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Ictalurus punctatus*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Ictalurus punctatus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁴³ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oncorhynchus_mykiss/en. Accessed February 12, 2008
- ⁴⁴ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Salmo salar*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Salmo salar/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁴⁵ Dunning R and Daniels H. 2001. Hybrid striped bass production in ponds: enterprise budget. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center. SRAC Publication No. 3000.
- ⁴⁶ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oreochromis niloticus*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oreochromis niloticus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁴⁷ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Ictalurus punctatus*, www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Ictalurus punctatus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.

- ⁴⁸ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. <u>www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oncorhynchus mykiss/en</u>. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁴⁹ Dunning R and Daniels H. 2001. Hybrid striped bass production in ponds: enterprise budget. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center. SRAC Publication No. 3000.
- ⁵⁰ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Salmo salar*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Salmo_salar/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁵¹ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oreochromis niloticus*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oreochromis_niloticus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁵² Chapman FA. 2006. Farm-raised Channel Catfish. University of Florida IFAS Extension, CIR1052.
- ⁵³ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oncorhynchus_mykiss/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁵⁴ Hodson RG. 1989. Hybrid Striped Bass: Biology and Life History. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center. SRAC Publication No. 300.
- ⁵⁵ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Salmo salar*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Salmo_salar/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁵⁶ Chapman FA. 2006. Farm-raised Channel Catfish. University of Florida IFAS Extension, CIR1052.
- ⁵⁷ Hodson RG. 1989. Hybrid Striped Bass: Biology and Life History. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center. SRAC Publication No. 300.
- ⁵⁸ Chapman FA. 2000. Culture of Hybrid Tilapia: A Reference Profile. University of Florida IFAS Extension, CIR1051.
- ⁵⁹ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2007. Glossary of aquaculture. www.fao.org/fi/glossary/aquaculture/. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁶⁰ Chandroo KP, Duncan IJH, and Moccia RD. 2004. Can fish suffer?: perspectives on sentience, pain, fear and stress. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):225-50.
- ⁶¹ Chandroo KP, Yue S, and Moccia RD. 2004. An evaluation of current perspectives on consciousness and pain in fishes. Fish and Fisheries 5(4):281-95.
- ⁶² Sneddon LU. 2006. Ethics and welfare: Pain perception in fish. Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists 26(1):6-10.
- ⁶³ Rose JD. 2002. The neurobehavioral nature of fishes and the question of awareness and pain. Reviews in Fisheries Science 10(1):1-38.
- ⁶⁴ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ⁶⁵ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ⁶⁶ Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, and Gentle MJ. 2003. Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1520):1115-21.
- ⁶⁷ Broom DM. 2001. The evolution of pain. In: Soulsby L and Morton D (eds.), Pain: Its Nature and Management in Man and Animals (U.K.: Royal Society of Medicine Press, pp. 17-25).
- ⁶⁸ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31, citing: Johansson D and Kiessling A. 2001. Smärta och smärtlindring (Pain and pain relief). Olsen RE og Hansen T (eds), Havbruksrapporten 2001, Fisken og Havet, saernr. 3-2001, pp. 35-8 (in Swedish).
- ⁶⁹ Broom DM. 2001. The evolution of pain. In: Soulsby L and Morton D (eds.), Pain: Its Nature and Management in Man and Animals (U.K.: Royal Society of Medicine Press, pp. 17-25).
- ⁷⁰ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.

- ⁷¹ Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, and Gentle MJ. 2003. Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1520):1115-21.
- ⁷² Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, and Gentle MJ. 2003. Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1520):1115-21.
- ⁷³ Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, and Gentle MJ. 2003. Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1520):1115-21.
- ⁷⁴ Sneddon LU. 2003. The evidence for pain in fish: the use of morphine as an analgesic. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 83(2):153-62.
- ⁷⁵ Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, and Gentle MJ. 2003. Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1520):1115-21.
- ⁷⁶ Rose JD. 2002. The neurobehavioral nature of fishes and the question of awareness and pain. Reviews in Fisheries Science 10(1):1-38.
- ⁷⁷ Rose JD. 2002. The neurobehavioral nature of fishes and the question of awareness and pain. Reviews in Fisheries Science 10(1):1-38.
- ⁷⁸ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ⁷⁹ Broom DM. 2001. The evolution of pain. In: Soulsby L and Morton D (eds.), Pain: Its Nature and Management in Man and Animals (U.K.: Royal Society of Medicine Press, pp. 17-25).
- ⁸⁰ Broom DM. 2001. The evolution of pain. In: Soulsby L and Morton D (eds.), Pain: Its Nature and Management in Man and Animals (U.K.: Royal Society of Medicine Press, pp. 17-25).
- ⁸¹ Broom DM. 2001. The evolution of pain. In: Soulsby L and Morton D (eds.), Pain: Its Nature and Management in Man and Animals (U.K.: Royal Society of Medicine Press, pp. 17-25).
- ⁸² Chandroo KP, Yue S, and Moccia RD. 2004. An evaluation of current perspectives on consciousness and pain in fishes. Fish and Fisheries 5(4):281-95.
- ⁸³ Chandroo KP, Duncan IJH, and Moccia RD. 2004. Can fish suffer?: perspectives on sentience, pain, fear and stress. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):225-50.
- ⁸⁴ Chandroo KP, Duncan IJH, and Moccia RD. 2004. Can fish suffer?: perspectives on sentience, pain, fear and stress. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):225-50.
- ⁸⁵ Yue S, Moccia RD, and Duncan IJH. 2004. Investigating fear in domestic rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, using an avoidance learning task. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 87(3-4):343-54.
- ⁸⁶ Damsgård B, Juell J-E, and Braastad BO. 2006. Welfare in farmed fish (Norway: Fiskeriforskning). www.fiskeriforskning.no/fiskeriforskning/publikasjoner/rapporter/welfare_in_farmed_fish. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁸⁷ Beukema JJ. 1970. Angling experiments with carp decreased catchability through one trial learning. Netherlands Journal of Zoology 20:81-92.
- ⁸⁸ Olla BL and Davis MW. 1989. The role of learning and stress in predator avoidance of hatchery-reared coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) juveniles. Aquaculture 76:209-14.
- ⁸⁹ Odling-Smee L and Braithwaite VA. 2003. The role of learning in fish orientation. Fish and Fisheries 4(3):235-46.
- ⁹⁰ Rodriguez F, Duran E, Vargas JP, Torres B, and Salas C. 1994. Performance of goldfish trained in allocentric and egocentric maze procedures suggests the presence of a cognitive mapping system in fishes. Animal Learning & Behavior 22(4):409-20.
- ⁹¹ Swaney W, Kendal J, Capon H, Brown C, and Laland KN. 2001. Familiarity facilitates social learning of foraging behaviour in the guppy. Animal Behaviour 62(3):591-8.
- ⁹² Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ⁹³ Farm Animal Welfare Council. What is the Farm Animal Welfare Council? www.fawc.org.uk. Accessed February 12, 2008.

- ⁹⁴ O'Connell S. 2005. Does she have feelings, too? The Daily Telegraph, February 3. www.telegraph.co.uk/connected/main.jhtml?xml=/connected/2005/03/02/ecrfish02.xml&sSheet=/connected/2005/03/02/ixconnrite.html. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁹⁵ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁹⁶ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ⁹⁷ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. What is the FSBI? www.fsbi.org.uk/info.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ⁹⁸ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008
- ⁹⁹ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹⁰⁰ Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.
- ¹⁰¹ Schwedler TE and Johnson SK. 1999-2000. Animal welfare issues: responsible care and health maintenance of fish in commercial aquaculture. Animal Welfare Information Center, United States Department of Agriculture. Animal Welfare Information Center Bulletin 10(3-4).
- www.nal.usda.gov/awic/newsletters/v10n3/10n3schw.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁰³ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹⁰⁴ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁰⁵ Wendelaar Bonga SE. 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiological Reviews 77(3):591-625.
- ¹⁰⁶ Sumpter JP. 1997. The endocrinology of stress. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 95-118).
- ¹⁰⁷ Iwama GK. 1998. Stress in fish. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 851:304-10.
- ¹⁰⁸ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁰⁹ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹¹⁰ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- Wendelaar Bonga SE. 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiological Reviews 77(3):591-625.
- ¹¹² Sumpter JP. 1997. The endocrinology of stress. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 95-118).
- ¹¹³ Sumpter JP. 1997. The endocrinology of stress. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 95-118).
- Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹¹⁵ Wendelaar Bonga SE. 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiological Reviews 77(3):591-625.

- ¹¹⁶ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹¹⁷ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹¹⁸ Barton BA. 1997. Stress in finfish: past, present and future a historical perspective. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-33).
- ¹¹⁹ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹²⁰ Schreck CB, Olla BL, and Davis MW. 1997. Behavioral responses to stress. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 145-70).
- ¹²¹ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹²² Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹²³ Barton BA. 1997. Stress in finfish: past, present and future a historical perspective. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-33).
- Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹²⁵ Pottinger TG and Pickering AD. 1997. Genetic basis to the stress response: selective breeding for stress-tolerant fish. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 171-93).
- ¹²⁶ Barton BA. 1997. Stress in finfish: past, present and future a historical perspective. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-33).
- ¹²⁷ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹²⁸ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ¹²⁹ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ¹³⁰ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹³¹ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ¹³² Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ¹³³ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹³⁴ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹³⁵ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23. ¹³⁶ Iwama GK. 1991. Interactions between Aquaculture and the Environment. Critical Reviews in Environmental Control 21(2):177-216.
- ¹³⁷ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.

- ¹³⁸ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹³⁹ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ¹⁴⁰ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹⁴¹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁴² Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹⁴³ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ¹⁴⁴ Wall AE. 1999. Fish farming. In: Ewbank R, Kim-Madslien F, and Hart CB (eds.), Management and Welfare of Farm Animals: The UFAW Farm Handbook (U.K.: Universities Federation for Animal Welfare).
- ¹⁴⁵ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ¹⁴⁶ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ¹⁴⁷ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁴⁸ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ¹⁴⁹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁵⁰ Wall AE. 1999. Fish farming. In: Ewbank R, Kim-Madslien F, and Hart CB (eds.), Management and Welfare of Farm Animals: The UFAW Farm Handbook (U.K.: Universities Federation for Animal Welfare).
- ¹⁵¹ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ¹⁵² Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹⁵³ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹⁵⁴ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹⁵⁵ Lemly AD. 1996. Winter stress syndrome: an important consideration for hazard assessment of aquatic pollutants. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 34(3):223-7.
- ¹⁵⁶ Kråkenes R, Hansen T, Stefansson SO, and Taranger GL. 1991. Continuous light increases growth rate of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) postsmolts in sea cages. Aquaculture 95(3):281-7.
- ¹⁵⁷ Hansen T, Stefansson S, and Taranger GL. 1992. Growth and sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., reared in sea cages at two different light regimes. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 23(3):275-80.
- ¹⁵⁸ Devlin RH, Yesaki TY, Donaldson EM, and Hew CL. 1995. Transmission and phenotypic effects of an antifreeze/GH gene construct in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Aquaculture 137(1-4):161-9.
- ¹⁵⁹ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29).

- ¹⁶⁰ Hansen T, Stefansson S, and Taranger GL. 1992. Growth and sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., reared in sea cages at two different light regimes. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 23(3):275-
- ¹⁶¹ Puvanendran V and Brown JA. 2002. Foraging, growth and survival of Atlantic cod larvae reared in different light intensities and photoperiods. Aquaculture 214(1/4):131-51.
- Juell JE, Oppedal F, Boxaspen K, and Taranger GL. 2003. Submerged light increases swimming depth and reduces fish density of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar L. in production cages. Aquaculture Research 34(6):469-77. ¹⁶³ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ¹⁶⁴ Mork OI and Gulbrandsen J. 1994. Vertical activity of four salmonid species in response to changes between darkness and two intensities of light. Aquaculture 127(4):317-28.
- ¹⁶⁵ Stevenson P. 2007. Closed Waters: The Welfare of Farmed Atlantic Salmon, Rainbow Trout, Atlantic Cod. and Atlantic Halibut (U.K.: Compassion in World Farming).
- www.ciwf.org.uk/publications/reports/closed_waters_welfare_of_farmed_atlantic%20_salmon.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008, citing: Burgos A, Valenzuela A, Gonzalez M, and Klempau A. 2005. Non-specific defence mechanisms of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) during artificial photoperiod. Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists 24:240-5.
- ¹⁶⁶ Schwedler TE and Johnson SK. 1999-2000. Animal welfare issues: responsible care and health maintenance of fish in commercial aquaculture. Animal Welfare Information Center, United States Department of Agriculture. Animal Welfare Information Center Bulletin 10(3-4).
- www.nal.usda.gov/awic/newsletters/v10n3/10n3schw.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁶⁷ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems, www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf, Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁶⁸ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ¹⁶⁹ Ellis T, Scott AP, North B, Bromage NR, Porter M, and Gadd D. 2002. The relationships between stocking density and welfare in farmed rainbow trout. Journal of Fish Biology 61(3):493-531.
- ¹⁷⁰ Schwedler TE and Johnson SK. 1999-2000. Animal welfare issues: responsible care and health maintenance of fish in commercial aquaculture. Animal Welfare Information Center, United States Department of Agriculture. Animal Welfare Information Center Bulletin 10(3-4).
- www.nal.usda.gov/awic/newsletters/v10n3/10n3schw.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.

 171 Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁷² Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- Ewing RD and Ewing SK. 1995. Review of the effects of rearing density on the survival to adulthood for Pacific salmon. Progressive Fish-Culturist 57:1-25.
- ¹⁷⁴ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹⁷⁵ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹⁷⁶ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ¹⁷⁷ McCormick SD. 2007. Atlantic salmon. www.bio.umass.edu/biology/conn.river/salmon.html. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁷⁹ Pottinger TG and Pickering AD. 1992. The influence of social interaction on the acclimation of rainbow trout, Oncohynchus mykiss (Walbaum) to chronic stress. Journal of Fish Biology 41:435-47.

- ¹⁸⁰ Banks JL. 1994. Raceway density and water flow as factors affecting spring chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) during rearing and after release. Aquaculture 119(2-3):201-17.
- ¹⁸¹ Mazur CF, Tillapaugh D, Brett JR, and Iwama GK. 1993. The effect of feeding level and rearing density on growth, feed conversion and survival in Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) reared in salt water. Aquaculture 117(1-2):129-40.
- Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁸³ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁸⁴ Greaves K and Tuene S. 2001. The form and context of aggressive behaviour in farmed Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.). Aquaculture 193(1/2):139-47.
- ¹⁸⁵ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁸⁶ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁸⁷ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹⁸⁸ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁸⁹ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ¹⁹⁰ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ¹⁹¹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁹² Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ¹⁹³ Lymbery P. 2002. In too deep: the welfare of intensively farmed fish (U.K.: Compassion in World Farming Trust). www.ciwf.org.uk/publications/reports/in_too_deep_2001.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ¹⁹⁴ Hoyle I, Oidtmann B, Ellis T, et al. 2007. A validated macroscopic key to assess fin damage in farmed rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture 270(1-4):142-8.
- ¹⁹⁵ Pottinger TG and Pickering AD. 1997. Genetic basis to the stress response: selective breeding for stress-tolerant fish. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 171-93).
- ¹⁹⁶ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ¹⁹⁷ RSPCA. 2006. Welfare standards for farmed Atlantic salmon. March 2006.
- ¹⁹⁸ U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2005. Census of Aquaculture (2005), Volume 3, Special Studies Part 2, 2002 Census of Agriculture. www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2002/Aquaculture/AQUACEN.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.

- ¹⁹⁹ Maurer A. 2007. Preventing the Catfish Blues. Tech Journal South, March 15. http://techjournalsouth.com/news/article.html?item_id=2767. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- Tan Z, Komar C, and Enright WJ. 2006. Health management practices for cage aquaculture in Asia a key component for sustainability. Intervet. http://aqua.intervet.com/binaries/Tan%20et%20al%20-%20Health%20Management%20practices%20for%20cage%20aquaculture%20in%20Asia%20-%20CAA2%202006_tcm127-123010.pdf. Viewed on December 23, 2010.
- ²⁰¹ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁰² Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁰³ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²⁰⁴ Wendelaar Bonga SE. 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiological Reviews 77(3):591-625.
- ²⁰⁵ Barton BA. 1997. Stress in finfish: past, present and future a historical perspective. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-33).
- ²⁰⁶ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²⁰⁷ Wendelaar Bonga SE. 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiological Reviews 77(3):591-625.
- ²⁰⁸ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²⁰⁹ Barton BA and Iwama GK. 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. Annual Review of Fish Diseases 1:3-26.
- ²¹⁰ Barton BA. 1997. Stress in finfish: past, present and future a historical perspective. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-33).
- ²¹¹ Tripp RA, Maule AG, Schreck CB, and Kaattari SL. 1987. Cortisol mediated suppression of salmonid lymphocyte responses in vitro. Developmental and Comparative Immunology 11(3):565-76.
- ²¹² Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008
- ²¹³ Wendelaar Bonga SE. 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiological Reviews 77(3):591-625.
- ²¹⁴ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ²¹⁵ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²¹⁶ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in

Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).

- ²¹⁸ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²¹⁹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²²⁰ Peters G, Faisal M, Lang T, and Ahrned I. 1988. Stress caused by social interaction and its effect on susceptibility to Aeromonas hydrophila infection in rainbow trout *Saltno gairdneri*. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 4:83-9.
- ²²¹ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ²²² Poppe TT and Taksdal T. 2000. Ventricular hypoplasia in farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 42(1):35-40.
- ²²³ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ²²⁴ Ersdal C, Midtlyng PJ, and Jarp J. 2001. An epidemiological study of cataracts in seawater farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 45(3):229-36.
- ²²⁵ Wall AE and Richards RH. 1992. Occurrence of cataracts in triploid Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) on four farms in Scotland. The Veterinary Record 131(24):553-7.
- ²²⁶ Lymbery P. 2002. In too deep: the welfare of intensively farmed fish (U.K.: Compassion in World Farming Trust). www.ciwf.org.uk/publications/reports/in too deep 2001.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²²⁷ Kvellestad A, Hoie S, Thorud K, Torud B, and Lyngoy A. 2000. Platyspondyly and shortness of vertebral column in farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* in Norway--description and interpretation of pathologic changes. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 39(2):97-108.
- ²²⁸ Sommerville C. 1998. Parasites of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 146-79).
- ²²⁹ Johnson SC, Treasurer JW, Bravo S, Nagasawa K, and Kabata Z. 2004. A review of the impact of parasitic copepods on marine aquaculture. Zoological Studies 43(2):229-43.
- ²³⁰ Sommerville C. 1998. Parasites of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 146-79).
- ²³¹ Sommerville C. 1998. Parasites of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 146-79).
- ²³² Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. Pest Management Science 58(6):515-20.
- ²³³ Pike AW. 1989. Sea lice--major pathogens of farmed Atlantic salmon. Parasitology Today 5(9):291-7.
- ²³⁴ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ²³⁵ Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. Pest Management Science 58(6):515-20.
- ²³⁶ Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. Pest Management Science 58(6):515-20.
- ²³⁷ Goldburg R.J., Elliott MS, and Naylor RL. 2001. Marine aquaculture in the United States: environmental impacts and policy options. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.
- ²³⁸ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²³⁹ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ²⁴⁰ Griffin BR, Davis KB, and Schlenk D . 1999. Effect of Simulated Copper Sulfate Therapy on Stress Indicators in Channel Catfish. Journal of Aquatic Animal Health 11(3):231-6.

- ²⁴¹ Yildiz HY and Pulatsu S. 1999. Evaluation of the secondary stress response in healthy Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) after treatment with a mixture of formalin, malachite green and methylene blue. Aquaculture Research 30(5):379-83.
- ²⁴² Thorburn MA, Teare GF, Martin SW, and Moccia RD. 2001. Group-level factors associated with chemotherapeutic treatment regimens in land-based trout farms in Ontario, Canada. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 50(1-2):165-76.
- ²⁴³ Powell MD and Perry SF. 1997. Respiratory and acid-base pathophysiology of hydrogen peroxide in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). Aquatic Toxicology 37(2):99-112.
- ²⁴⁴ Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. Pest Management Science 58(6):515-20.
- ²⁴⁵ Wall AE. 1999. Fish farming. In: Ewbank R, Kim-Madslien F, and Hart CB (eds.), Management and Welfare of Farm Animals: The UFAW Farm Handbook (U.K.: Universities Federation for Animal Welfare).
- ²⁴⁶ Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. Pest Management Science 58(6):515-20.
- ²⁴⁷ Naylor RL, Goldburg RJ, Primavera JH, et al. 2000. Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature 405(6790):1017-24.
- ²⁴⁸ Sommerville C. 1998. Parasites of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 146-79).
- ²⁴⁹ Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. Pest Management Science 58(6):515-20.
- ²⁵⁰ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ²⁵¹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ²⁵² Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29).
- ²⁵³ Aleström P and de la Fuente J. 1999. Genetically modified fish in aquaculture: Technical, environmental and management considerations. Biotecnologia Aplicada 16(2):127-30.
- ²⁵⁴ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29). ²⁵⁵ Dunham RA and Smitherman RO. 1983. Response to selection and relized heritability for body weight in
- 255 Dunham RA and Smitherman RO. 1983. Response to selection and relized heritability for body weight in three strains of channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, grown in earthen ponds. Aquaculture 33:89-96.
- ²⁵⁶ Hershberger WK, Myers JM, Iwamoto RN, McAuley WC, and Saxton AM. 1990. Genetic changes in the growth of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) in marine net-pens, produced by ten years of selection. Aquaculture 85:187-97.
- ²⁵⁷Devlin RH, Yesaki TY, Biagi CA, Donaldson EM, Swanson P, and Chan WK. 1994. Extraordinary salmon growth. Nature 371(6494):209-10.
- ²⁵⁸ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ²⁵⁹ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29).

 ²⁶⁰ Fisheries and Agraculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured agratic species information programme.
- ²⁶⁰ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oreochromis niloticus*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oreochromis_niloticus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁶¹ Fisheries and Aquaculture Department of the FAO. 2007. Cultured aquatic species information programme *Oreochromis niloticus*. www.fao.org/fishery/culturedspecies/Oreochromis niloticus/en. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁶² Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department).

- ²⁶³ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29). ²⁶⁴ Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department).
- ²⁶⁵ Devlin RH, Yesaki TY, Donaldson EM, and Hew CL. 1995. Transmission and phenotypic effects of an antifreeze/GH gene construct in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Aquaculture 137(1-4):161-9. ²⁶⁶ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29). ²⁶⁷ Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries
- ²⁶⁸ Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department).
- ²⁶⁹ Stevenson P. 2007. Closed Waters: The Welfare of Farmed Atlantic Salmon, Rainbow Trout, Atlantic Cod, and Atlantic Halibut (U.K.: Compassion in World Farming). www.ciwf.org.uk/publications/reports/closed_waters_welfare_of_farmed_atlantic%20_salmon.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁷⁰ Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department).
- ²⁷¹ Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department).
- ²⁷² Johnstone R. 1992. Scottish Aquaculture Research Report, 2. Production and performance of triploid Atlantic salmon in Scotland (Aberdeen, U.K.: Marine Laboratory, The Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department).
- ²⁷³ Wall AE and Richards RH. 1992. Occurrence of cataracts in triploid Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) on four farms in Scotland. The Veterinary Record 131(24):553-7.
- ²⁷⁴ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ²⁷⁵ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29).
- ²⁷⁶ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29).
- ²⁷⁷ Dunham RA and Devlin RH. 1999. Comparison of traditional breeding and transgenesis in farmed fish with implications for growth enhancement and fitness. In: Murray JD, Anderson GB, Oberbauer AM, and
- McGloughlin MM (eds.), Transgenic Animals in Agriculture (New York, NY: CAB International, pp. 209-29). ²⁷⁸ Devlin RH, Yesaki TY, Donaldson EM, and Hew CL. 1995. Transmission and phenotypic effects of an antifreeze/GH gene construct in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Aquaculture 137(1-4):161-9.
- ²⁷⁹ Hallerman EM, McLean E, and Fleming IA. 2007. Effects of growth hormone transgenes on the behavior and welfare of aquacultured fishes: A review identifying research needs. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 104(3-4):265-94.
- ²⁸⁰ European Commission Scientific Steering Committee. 2003. Opinion on the feeding of wild fishmeal to farmed fish and recycling of fish with regard to the risk of TSE.
- ²⁸¹ Waagbø R. 1994. The impact of nutritional factors on the immune system in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L.: a review. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 25:175-97.

Department).

- ²⁸² Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁸³ El-Sayed AFM. 1999. Alternative dietary protein sources for farmed tilapia, *Oreochromis* spp. Aquaculture 179(1/4):149-68.
- ²⁸⁴ Naylor RL, Goldburg RJ, Primavera JH, et al. 2000. Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature 405(6790):1017-24, citing: Tacon ACG. 1993. In: Fraser S (ed.), International Aquafeed Directory (Turret, Middlesex, U.K.: pp. 5-37).
- ²⁸⁵ Tacon AGJ. 1997. Aquafeeds and Feeding Strategies. In: Shehadeh Z (ed.), Review of the State of World Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries Circular No. 886 FIRI/C886 (Rev.1) (Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). www.fao.org/docrep/003/w7499e/w7499e16.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ²⁸⁶ El-Sayed AFM. 1999. Alternative dietary protein sources for farmed tilapia, *Oreochromis* spp. Aquaculture 179(1/4):149-68.
- ²⁸⁷ El-Sayed AFM. 1999. Alternative dietary protein sources for farmed tilapia, *Oreochromis* spp. Aquaculture 179(1/4):149-68.
- ²⁸⁸ Naylor RL, Goldburg RJ, Primavera JH, et al. 2000. Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature 405(6790):1017-24.
- ²⁸⁹ Refstie S, Storebakken T, Baeverfjord G, and Roem AJ. 2001. Long-term protein and lipid growth of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets with partial replacement of fish meal by soy protein products at medium or high lipid level. Aquaculture 193(1-2):91-106.
- ²⁹⁰ Powell K. 2003. Fish farming: eat your veg. Nature 426(6965):378-9.
- ²⁹¹ Waagbø R. 1994. The impact of nutritional factors on the immune system in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L.: a review. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 25:175-97.
- ²⁹² Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ²⁹³ Lall SP and Lewis-McCrea LM. 2007. Role of nutrients in skeletal metabolism and pathology in fish -- An overview. Aquaculture 267(1-4):3-19.
- ²⁹⁴ Pilarczyk A. 1995. Changes in specific carp immune reaction caused by addition of fish oil to pellets. Aquaculture 129(1-4):425-9.
- ²⁹⁵ Waagbø R. 1994. The impact of nutritional factors on the immune system in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L.: a review. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 25:175-97.
- ²⁹⁶ Tacon AGJ. 1997. Aquafeeds and Feeding Strategies. In: Shehadeh Z (ed.), Review of the State of World Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries Circular No. 886 FIRI/C886 (Rev.1) (Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). www.fao.org/docrep/003/w7499e/w7499e16.htm. Accessed February 12, 2008
- ²⁹⁷ Waagbø R. 1994. The impact of nutritional factors on the immune system in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L.: a review. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 25:175-97.
- ²⁹⁸ Goldburg R.J., Elliott MS, and Naylor RL. 2001. Marine aquaculture in the United States: environmental impacts and policy options. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.
- ²⁹⁹ Naylor RL, Goldburg RJ, Primavera JH, et al. 2000. Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature 405(6790):1017-24.
- ³⁰⁰ Naylor RL and Burke M. 2005. Aquaculture and ocean resources: raising tigers of the sea. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 30:185-218.
- ³⁰¹ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ³⁰² Handeland SO, Järvi T, Fernö A, and Stefansson SO. 1996. Osmotic stress, antipredator behaviour, and mortality of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 53(12):2673-80.

- ³⁰³ Olla BL, Davis MW, and Schreck CB. 1992. Comparison of predator avoidance capabilities with corticosteroid levels induced by stress in juvenile coho salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 121(4):544-7.
- ³⁰⁴ Mesa MG. 1994. Effects of multiple acute stressors on the predator avoidance ability and physiology of juvenile chinook salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 123(5):786-93.
- ³⁰⁵ Metcalfe NB, Huntingford FA, and Thorpe JE. 1987. The influence of predation risk on the feeding motivation and foraging strategy of juvenile Atlantic salmon. Animal Behaviour 35(3):901-11.
- ³⁰⁶ Pillay TVR. 1992. Aquaculture and the Environment (Oxford, U.K.: Fishing News Books, pp. 97-102).
- ³⁰⁷ Pillay TVR. 1992. Aquaculture and the Environment (Oxford, U.K.: Fishing News Books, pp. 97-102).
- ³⁰⁸ Ross A. 1988. Controlling nature's predators on fish farms. Marine Conservation Society.
- ³⁰⁹ Sommerville C. 1998. Parasites of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 146-79).
- ³¹⁰ Conte FS. 1993. Evaluation of a freshwater site for aquaculture potential. Western Regional Aquaculture Center Publication No. 92-101.
- ³¹¹ Krkosek M, Lewis MA, Morton A, Frazer LN, and Volpe JP. 2006. Epizootics of wild fish induced by farm fish. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103(42):15506-10.
- ³¹² Goldburg R.J., Elliott MS, and Naylor RL. 2001. Marine aquaculture in the United States: environmental impacts and policy options. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.
- ³¹³ Goldburg R.J., Elliott MS, and Naylor RL. 2001. Marine aquaculture in the United States: environmental impacts and policy options. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.
- ³¹⁴ McKinnell S and Thomson AJ. 1997. Recent events concerning Atlantic salmon escapees in the Pacific. ICES Journal of Marine Science 54(6):1221-5.
- ³¹⁵ Goldburg R.J., Elliott MS, and Naylor RL. 2001. Marine aquaculture in the United States: environmental impacts and policy options. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.
- ³¹⁶ Naylor RL and Burke M. 2005. Aquaculture and ocean resources: raising tigers of the sea. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 30:185-218.
- ³¹⁷ Ross A. 1988. Controlling nature's predators on fish farms. Marine Conservation Society.
- ³¹⁸ Barton BA and Iwama GK. 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. Annual Review of Fish Diseases 1:3-26.
- ³¹⁹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³²⁰ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- Ruane NM, Carballo EC, and Komen J. 2002. Increased stocking density influences the acute physiological stress response of common carp *Cyprinus carpio* (L.). Aquaculture Research 33(10):777-84.
- ³²² Vazzana M, Cammarata M, Cooper EL, and Parrinello N. 2002. Confinement stress in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) depresses peritoneal leukocyte cytotoxicity. Aquaculture 210(1/4):231-43.
- Barnett CW and Pankhurst NW. 1998. The effects of common laboratory and husbandry practices on the stress response of greenback flounder *Rhombosolea tapirina* (Gunther, 1862). Aquaculture 162(3/4):313-29.
- ³²⁴ Yue S, Moccia RD, and Duncan IJH. 2004. Investigating fear in domestic rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, using an avoidance learning task. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 87(3-4):343-54.
- ³²⁵ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ³²⁶ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ³²⁷ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ³²⁸ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23, citing: Post GB. 1987. Text Book of Fish Diseases (Neptune, NJ: TFH Publications, p. 287).
- ³²⁹ Stangeland K, Hoie S, and Taksdal T. 1996. Experimental induction of infectious pancreatic necrosis in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., post-smolts. Journal of Fish Diseases 19:323-7.
- ³³⁰ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- 331 Conte FS, 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.

- ³³² Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³³⁴ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³³⁵ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³³⁶ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ³³⁷ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ³³⁸ Conte FS. 2004. Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):205-23.
- ³³⁹ Wall AE. 1999. Fish farming. In: Ewbank R, Kim-Madslien F, and Hart CB (eds.), Management and Welfare of Farm Animals: The UFAW Farm Handbook (U.K.: Universities Federation for Animal Welfare).
- ³⁴⁰ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁴¹ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³⁴² RSPCA. 2006. Welfare standards for farmed Atlantic salmon. March 2006.
- ³⁴³ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³⁴⁴ Greaves K and Tuene S. 2001. The form and context of aggressive behaviour in farmed Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.). Aquaculture 193(1/2):139-47.
- ³⁴⁵ Winfree RA, Kindschi GA, and Shaw HT. 1998. Elevated water temperature, crowding, and food deprivation accelerate fin erosion in juvenile steelhead. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 60(3):192-9.
- ³⁴⁶ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.
- ³⁴⁷ Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, et al. 2006. Current issues in fish welfare. Journal of Fish Biology 68(2):332-72.
- ³⁴⁸ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ³⁴⁹ Greaves K and Tuene S. 2001. The form and context of aggressive behaviour in farmed Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.). Aquaculture 193(1/2):139-47.
- ³⁵⁰ Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.
- ³⁵¹ Farm Animal Welfare Council. 1996. Report on the welfare of farmed fish.
- ³⁵² Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³⁵³ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³⁵⁴ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁵⁵ Wall AE. 1999. Fish farming. In: Ewbank R, Kim-Madslien F, and Hart CB (eds.), Management and Welfare of Farm Animals: The UFAW Farm Handbook (U.K.: Universities Federation for Animal Welfare).
- ³⁵⁶ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in

Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).

- ³⁵⁷ Barton BA and Iwama GK. 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. Annual Review of Fish Diseases 1:3-26.
- ³⁵⁸ Bandeen J and Leatherland JF. 1997. Transportation and handling stress of white suckers raised in cages. Aquaculture International 5(5):385-96.
- ³⁵⁹ Barton BA. 2000. Salmonid fishes differ in their cortisol and glucose responses to handling and transport stress. North American Journal of Aquaculture 62(1):12-8.
- ³⁶⁰ Rouger Y, Aubin J, Breton B, et al. 1998. Response of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to transport stress. Bulletin Français de la Peche et de la Pisciculture 350-351:511-9.
- ³⁶¹ Iversen M, Finstad B, and Nilssen KJ. 1998. Recovery from loading and transport stress in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) smolts. Aquaculture 168(1/4):387-94.
- ³⁶² Iversen M, Finstad B, and Nilssen KJ. 1998. Recovery from loading and transport stress in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) smolts. Aquaculture 168(1/4):387-94.
- ³⁶³ Mazik PM, Simco BA, and Parker NC. 1991. Influence of water hardness and salts on survival and physiological characteristics of striped bass during and after transport. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120(1):121-6.
- ³⁶⁴ Garcia LMB, Hilomen-Garcia GV, and Emata AC. 2000. Survival of captive milkfish *Chanos chanos* Forsskal broodstock subjected to handling and transport. Aquaculture Research 31(7):575-83.
- ³⁶⁵ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁶⁶ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁶⁷ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ³⁶⁸ Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.
- ³⁶⁹ Bandeen J and Leatherland JF. 1997. Transportation and handling stress of white suckers raised in cages. Aquaculture International 5(5):385-96.
- ³⁷⁰ Schreck CB, Solazzi MF, Johnson SL, and Nickelson TE. 1989. Transportation stress affects performance of coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. Aquaculture 82:15-20.
- ³⁷¹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁷² Garcia LMB, Hilomen-Garcia GV, and Emata AC. 2000. Survival of captive milkfish *Chanos chanos* Forsskal broodstock subjected to handling and transport. Aquaculture Research 31(7):575-83.
- ³⁷³ Sandodden R, Finstad B, and Iversen M. 2001. Transport stress in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.): anaethesia and recovery. Aquaculture Research 32(2):87-90.
- ³⁷⁴ Carmichael GJ, Tomasso JR, Simco BA, and Davis KB. 1984. Characterization and alleviation of stress associated with hauling largemouth bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113:778-85.
- ³⁷⁵ Mazik PM, Simco BA, and Parker NC. 1991. Influence of water hardness and salts on survival and physiological characteristics of striped bass during and after transport. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120(1):121-6.
- ³⁷⁶ Carmichael GJ, Tomasso JR, Simco BA, and Davis KB. 1984. Characterization and alleviation of stress associated with hauling largemouth bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113:778-85.
- ³⁷⁷ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in

Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).

- ³⁷⁸ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁷⁹ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁸⁰ Wedemeyer GA. 1997. Effects of rearing conditions on the health and physiological quality of fish in intensive culture. In: Iwama GK, Pickering AD, Sumpter JP, and Schreck CB (eds.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture, Society for Experimental Biology, Seminar Series 62 (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, pp. 35-71).
- ³⁸¹ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ³⁸² Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.
- ³⁸³ Farm Animal Welfare Council. 1996. Report on the welfare of farmed fish.
- ³⁸⁴ van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.
- ³⁸⁵ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ³⁸⁶ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ³⁸⁷ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ³⁸⁸ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ³⁸⁹ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ³⁹⁰ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ³⁹¹ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ³⁹² Wall AJ. 2001. Ethical considerations in the handling and slaughter of farmed fish. In: Kestin SC and Warriss PD (eds.), Farmed Fish Quality (Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell Science, pp. 108-15).
- ³⁹³ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ³⁹⁴ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ³⁹⁵ van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.
- ³⁹⁶ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ³⁹⁷ Kestin SC, Wotton SB, and Gregory NG. 1991. Effect of slaughter by removal from water on visual evoked activity in the brain and reflex movement of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 128(19):443-6.

- ³⁹⁸ Kestin SC, Wotton SB, and Gregory NG. 1991. Effect of slaughter by removal from water on visual evoked activity in the brain and reflex movement of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 128(19):443-6.
- ³⁹⁹ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ⁴⁰⁰ Skjervold PO, Fjaera SO, Ostby PB, and Einen O. 2001. Live-chilling and crowding stress before slaughter of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture 192(2/4):265-80.
- ⁴⁰¹ Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.
- ⁴⁰² Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴⁰³ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴⁰⁴ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ⁴⁰⁵ Wall AJ. 2001. Ethical considerations in the handling and slaughter of farmed fish. In: Kestin SC and Warriss PD (eds.), Farmed Fish Quality (Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell Science, pp. 108-15).
- ⁴⁰⁶ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ⁴⁰⁷ van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.
- ⁴⁰⁸ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ⁴⁰⁹ van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.
- ⁴¹⁰ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴¹¹ Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.
- ⁴¹² van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.
- ⁴¹³ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ⁴¹⁴ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴¹⁵ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ⁴¹⁶ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴¹⁷ Robb DHF, Wotton SB, McKinstry JL, Sorensen NK, and Kestin SC. 2000. Commercial slaughter methods used on Atlantic salmon: determination of the onset of brain failure by electroencephalography. Veterinary Record: Journal of the British Veterinary Association 147(11):298-303.
- ⁴¹⁸ van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.
- ⁴¹⁹ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴²⁰ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ⁴²¹ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.
- ⁴²² van de Vis H, Kestin S, Robb D, et al. 2003. Is humane slaughter of fish possible for industry? Aquaculture Research 34(3):211-20.

- ⁴²⁸ Håstein T. 2004. Animal welfare issues relating to aquaculture. Proceedings of the Global Conference on Animal Welfare: an OIE initiative, Paris, France, February 23-25, pp. 219-31.
- ⁴²⁹ Chandroo KP, Duncan IJH, and Moccia RD. 2004. Can fish suffer?: perspectives on sentience, pain, fear and stress. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 86(3-4):225-50.
- ⁴³⁰ Chandroo KP, Yue S, and Moccia RD. 2004. An evaluation of current perspectives on consciousness and pain in fishes. Fish and Fisheries 5(4):281-95.
- ⁴³¹ Sneddon LU. 2006. Ethics and welfare: Pain perception in fish. Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists 26(1):6-10.
- ⁴³² Rose JD. 2002. The neurobehavioral nature of fishes and the question of awareness and pain. Reviews in Fisheries Science 10(1):1-38.
- ⁴³³ Håstein T, Scarfe AD, and Lund VL. 2005. Science-based assessment of welfare: aquatic animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties 24(2):529-47.
- ⁴³⁴ Pickering AD. 1998. Stress responses of farmed fish. In: Black KD and Pickering AD (eds.), Biology of Farmed Fish (Sheffield, U.K.: Sheffield Academic Press, pp. 222-55).
- ⁴³⁵ Wall AE. 1999. Fish farming. In: Ewbank R, Kim-Madslien F, and Hart CB (eds.), Management and Welfare of Farm Animals: The UFAW Farm Handbook (U.K.: Universities Federation for Animal Welfare).
- ⁴³⁶ Maurer A. 2007. Preventing the Catfish Blues. Tech Journal South, March 15. http://techjournalsouth.com/news/article.html?item_id=2767. Accessed February 12, 2008.

HSI is the international arm of the Humane Society of the United States, an animal welfare organization backed by 11 million supporters. HSI works to create a humane and sustainable world for all animals, including people, through education, support, and the promotion of respect and compassion. Celebrating animals and confronting cruelty worldwide. On the Web at www.hsi.org.

Report updated in January 2011.

⁴²³ Southgate P and Wall T. 2001. Welfare of farmed fish at slaughter. In Practice 23(5):277-84.

⁴²⁴ Robb DHF and Kestin SC. 2002. Methods used to kill fish: field observations and literature reviewed. Animal Welfare 11(3):269-82.

⁴²⁵ Wall AJ. 2001. Ethical considerations in the handling and slaughter of farmed fish. In: Kestin SC and Warriss PD (eds.), Farmed Fish Quality (Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell Science, pp. 108-15).

⁴²⁶ Wall AJ. 2001. Ethical considerations in the handling and slaughter of farmed fish. In: Kestin SC and Warriss PD (eds.), Farmed Fish Quality (Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell Science, pp. 108-15).

⁴²⁷ Fisheries Society of the British Isles. 2002. Briefing Paper 2. Fish Welfare. Fisheries Society of the British Isles, Granta Information Systems. www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/Fishwelfare/FSBI.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2008.